

SciQLOP - Known_time_desc - # 31

Known time description

=====

Double Time ranges : date from 01/01/1970 to 01/01/2100

- seconds from 01/01/1970 00:00:00 : 0 to 4 102 488 000
- milliseconds from 01/01/1970 00:00:00 : 0 to 4 102 488 000 000 (ambiguity for the first 48days of 1970 with previous time format)
- seconds from 01/01/0000 00:00:00 : 62 168 472 000 to 66 270 960 000 (no ambiguity)
- milliseconds from 01/01/0000 00:00:00 : 62 168 472 000 000 to 66 270 960 000 000 (no ambiguity)
- seconds from 01/01/2000 00:00:00 : - 946 728 000 to 3 155 760 000 (TT2000)
- milliseconds from 01/01/2000 00:00:00 : - 946 728 000 000 to 3 155 760 000 000 (ambiguity with TT2000 in seconds?)

µs, ns, ps might also be needed for tt2000

Double (IEEE754)

64bits

min value: -1.7977E+308

max value :1.7977E+308

Number of seconds per year = 60*60*24*365.25 = 31 557 600

Numbers for 100 years :

3 155 760 000 s = 3.15576 e+9 s

3 155 760 000 000 ms = 3.15576 e+12 ms

3 155 760 000 000 000 µs = 3.15576 e+15 µs

3 155 760 000 000 000 000 ns = 3.15576 e+18 ns

3 155 760 000 000 000 000 000 ps = 3.15576 e+21 ps

Typical dynamics for a double seems to be 15 digits, after that we might experiment precision loss.

Recommendation is to store time in QLOP as microseconds since Epoch (01-01-1970 00:00:00)

Known time description

|.Mission Name|.time var name|. units|.DEPEND
|.LABLAXIS|.FIELDNAM|.CATDESC|.Type|.VIRTUAL|.nb of
records|_.VAR_NOTES|

|Cluster FGM|time_tags__CDFNAME|ms|0|UT|Universal Time|Interval
centred time tag|CDF_EPOCH| |normal|field missing|

|Cluster HIA|time_tags__CDFNAME|ms|0|UT|Center Time|Interval
centred time tag|CDF_EPOCH| |normal|field missing|

|||||||

|Themis Efi,SCM|VARNAME_time|sec|TIME|UT|Same as time var
name|UTC, in seconds sinc 01-Jan-1970 00:00:00|CDF_DOUBLE| |normal
|Unleaped seconds|

|Themis Efi,SCM |VARNAME_epoch |field missing|0 |UT |Same as time var
name|Unrelated |CDF_EPOCH |true |0 |field missing|

|Themis Efi,SCM |VARNAME_dot0_epoch0|msec