

## JUICE-SCM/Ground Segment - Task #4187

### Code Analysis / Investigation / Tests / Experiments in order to have same spectra densities results than matlab code

06/10/2023 06:15 PM - Theo Stassen

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	02/10/2023
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	06/10/2023
<b>Assignee:</b>	Theo Stassen	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>revision:</b>	r0		
<b>Description</b>			
<p>This week has occupied by the comparison between the results (in terms of spectra densities) between current python code and Alessandro Matlab code (with similar results with Czech team results).</p> <p>As the comparison shown a big difference between the two, investigation is on the way, looking to find why the code is concretely not working with JUICE data for simple calibration (calfactor + ant resp) but works in MMS.</p> <p>Maybe a problem in the python code, maybe in the ant resp idl conversion (between gain/phase and complex), ..</p>			